

## JUDGES REPORT

**Name:** Steve SAMPSON (SS), Peter HEAMES (PH), Yasmin STAMMERS (YS) **Discipline:** TRA & DMT

**Brevet ID number [FIG]:** 30174, 931, 12411 **Brevet Category:** 4

**Name of event and location:** Frivolten Cup, Hurrljunga, Sweden (SWE) **Date:** 11-12 May 2018

**Event Identification number:** n/a

### GENERAL HEADINGS:

1. **Purpose:**

The English Gymnastics Trampoline and DMT Team attended the Frivolten Cup.

2. **Judges Meeting:**

The meeting was held by Ulf ANDERSON (SWE), who performed a roll call, followed by a short opportunity for clarification questions. Ulf gave a strong response over a couple of judges who were "no shows" and had not informed Organising Committee in advance. He also urged judges to err on the side of the gymnast where there was uncertainty. Expectation was to be on the panel 30 minutes prior to start to have a period of trial judging.

The judges meeting was immediately preceded by a general meeting for all coaches and judges to explain competition format.

Judge positions selected for summarised in table below.

3. **Competition [Qualification / Finals]:**

**Generic:**

**- Event format**

This event held across two days. Qualification was generally on day one, with finals the following day. The exception to this was the junior and senior trampoline events, which held their qualification round on the morning of day two and finals in the afternoon. There was also a 'two-trick' competition prior to the medal ceremony, as is tradition at this event.

There was plenty of opportunity to train on the competition equipment: the day before the event, morning, prior to the competition and in the evening.

The following competitions were held, and judged by:

| Group                         | No. Flights | Judging Role(s):<br>Qualification | Judging Role(s):<br>Finals |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| TRA Individual Under 12 Women | 4 flights   |                                   |                            |
| TRA Individual Under 12 Men   | 4 flights   |                                   |                            |
| TRA Individual 13-14 Women    | 4 flights   | YS (E3)                           |                            |
| TRA Individual 13-14 Men      | 3 flights   | PH (D2)                           |                            |
| TRA Individual 15-16 Women    | 4 flights   |                                   | SS (E3)                    |
| TRA Individual 15-16 Men      | 2 flights   |                                   | PH (E2), YS (E5)           |
| TRA Individual Junior Women   | 2 flights   | SS (E3)                           | SS (E3)                    |
| TRA Individual Junior Men     | 2 flights   | PH (E2), YS (E5)                  | PH (E2), YS (E5)           |
| TRA Individual Senior Women   | 2 flights   | SS (E3)                           | SS (E3)                    |
| TRA Individual Senior Men     | 2 flights   | PH (E2), YS (E5)                  | PH (E2), YS (E5)           |

| Group                         | No. Flights | Judging Role(s):<br>Qualification | Judging Role(s):<br>Finals |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| DMT Individual Under 12 Women | 2 flights   | SS (E4), PH (D2)                  | YS (E5)                    |
| DMT Individual Under 12 Men   | 2 flights   |                                   |                            |
| DMT Individual 13-14 Women    | 2 flights   |                                   |                            |
| DMT Individual 13-14 Men      | 2 flights   |                                   |                            |
| DMT Individual 15-16 Women    | 2 flights   |                                   | YS (E5)                    |
| DMT Individual 15-16 Men      | 1 flight    |                                   | SS (E5), PH (D2)           |
| DMT Individual Junior Women   | 1 flight    |                                   | YS (E5)                    |
| DMT Individual Junior Men     | 1 flight    |                                   | SS (E5), PH (D2)           |
| DMT Individual Senior Women   | 1 flight    |                                   | YS (E5)                    |
| DMT Individual Senior Men     | 1 flight    |                                   | SS (E5), PH (D2)           |

The judging panels were rotated to give judges an opportunity to have a break during the long day. Brevet judges were utilised for the Junior and Senior categories of each discipline. National judges were used for the younger age categories.

The finals comprised a maximum of 8 gymnasts from a zero score start.

**Other observations /comments:**

The FIG TRA CoP 2017-2020 was used. Maximum difficulty limits per element (as per FIG CoP) were not enforced for age groups below the junior category.

The event did not hold a FIG sanction. This was due to the use of the Horizontal Displacement Device (HDD), which has not yet been granted FIG sanction.

**Specific:**

**- Horizontal Displacement (TRA)**

The Eurotramp HDD was utilised for the duration of the event for the evaluation of both TOF and HDD. It was noted that the HDD was recalibrated between flights. However, this was not onerous, taking only a few seconds at the click of a button. The HDD remained functional throughout the competition without error in either Horizontal Displacement or TOF scores.

**- Scoring system**

The scoring system utilised was SportTech. This system provided the two median deductions of the six<sup>1</sup> TRA Execution Judges and the three median deductions of the five DMT Execution Judges. It was a very stable system which required judges to enter their individual deductions into a tablet. This was fed live to the audience (as you were typing!) and automatically performed a live calculation of the median score as judges were finishing inputting their deductions. Ultimately, this means that gymnasts and coaches can see the individual breakdown of deductions from each judge for each element, which is great for analysis from judge's, coach's and gymnast's point of view. As a result, the processing of scores was a very quick indeed.

The scoring system, HDD and TOF were controlled by Chair of the Judges Panel (CJP) using a laptop. Most CJPs were SWE who were obviously very familiar with system. The small number of problems were quickly managed either by the Chair or Tech. Support. The live calculation of scores was really impressive.

**- Difficulty Cards**

Difficulty Cards required submission the evening before the competition. The start list was not produced until these had all been received.

Competition cards were checked for correct notation prior to submission. PH noted that not all Difficulty Cards (from other nations) were in FIG notation - this was condoned!

---

<sup>1</sup> Four execution judges were used in the qualification round of the Under 12, 13-14 and 15-16 trampoline events.

**- Feedback for coaches / gymnasts**

The team worked well together and gymnasts particularly well behaved. Judges involved in the training sessions to provide feedback, which was nice for judges and good for the gymnasts.

**- Feedback for other Brevets/judges**

Judges worked well in conjunction with coaches and gymnasts across all training sessions providing feedback on a 1-to-1 basis and collectively.

Ideal competition for experienced National Judges and new International judges. The atmosphere was relaxed and fellow judges and CJs friendly. Some UK non-standard elements seen, including [12-21<] on Trampoline and the following (forwards take-off) mounts on DMT: [4-/] and [42/].

**General:**

Free wifi was available at the venue which allowed the audience to access the real-time scoring through their personal device. There was also a projector and large sheet, on to which scores were also displayed.

**4. Status / Credibility of Event:**

**- Suitability of event:**

For the most part, this is a 'club' level competition for local Swedish clubs, but it is also popular with other Scandinavian and Baltic countries. On this occasion, clubs from the following nations were represented: Sweden, Finland, Denmark, Estonia, Latvia, Germany, Portugal and the England Team. The England Team were medal contenders in each category.

Due to the above, the quality of performance ranged from very poor to excellent. The level of Difficulty was high in mens TRA event in particular, with several gymnasts performing three or four triple somersaults.

**- Organisation of event:**

Hotel accommodation was of a suitable standard and only approx. 5-minute walk from the competition venue with on-site accommodation also available. Number of rooms is limited however, so important to book early. alternative accommodation is available on site but on mattresses on the floor of the adjacent school.

Food provided during the event was of a suitable quality, although not much variety. There is a medium sized shop between the hotel and competition venue with a variety of snacks and drinks.

In summary, a friendly, well organised and well-established competition. The host town is quite small with few amenities, but a good competition for future BG-developments or EG teams.

**5. Other observations:**

The two-trick competition was certainly a crowd pleaser, albeit a bit scary! Footage available on request.

**6. Other learning points, as relevant:**

Results and videos at [link](#).